A distinct entorhinal cortex to hippocampal CA1 direct circuit for olfactory associative learning
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Lateral and medial parts of entorhinal cortex (EC) convey nonspatial ‘what’ and spatial ‘where’ information, respectively, into hippocampal CA1, via both the indirect EC layer 2→hippocampal dentate gyrus→CA3→CA1 and the direct EC layer 3→CA1 paths. However, it remains elusive how the direct path transfers distinct information and contributes to hippocampal learning functions. Here we report that lateral EC projection neurons selectively form direct excitatory synapses onto a subpopulation of morphologically complex, calbindin-expressing pyramidal cells (PCs) in the dorsal CA1 (dCA1), while medial EC neurons uniformly innervate all dCA1 PCs. Optogenetically inactivating the distinct lateral EC–dCA1 connections or the postsynaptic dCA1 calbindin-expressing PC activity slows olfactory associative learning. Moreover, optetrode recordings reveal that dCA1 calbindin-expressing PCs develop more selective spiking responses to odor cues during learning. Thus, our results identify a direct lateral EC→dCA1 circuit that is required for olfactory associative learning.

The hippocampus is a medial temporal lobe structure that is critically involved in spatial navigation and formation of declarative memory. Multimodal information from many cortices converges into the hippocampus primarily through the EC¹–². The medial part of EC (MEC) contains strongly position-modulated neurons⁴–⁵, while the lateral EC (LEC) contains neurons encoding other features, for example, object⁶–⁹ and odor⁹–¹³. It is generally thought that MEC and LEC transfer spatial⁵ and nonspatial⁶, or context- and content-related¹⁴ information, respectively, into the hippocampus, through two efferent synaptic pathways: the perforant path (PP), from EC layer 2 stellate cells to hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG), and the tempororoammonic path (TA), from EC layer 3 PCs to hippocampal CA1. These two pathways are also known as the indirect and direct paths, respectively, to the CA1¹¹. A large body of experimental evidence has revealed the synaptic organization of the indirect path and its critical roles in spatial navigation and declarative memory formation¹⁵,¹⁶. In contrast, neural functions of the direct TA path are less understood. Lesion studies have suggested that the direct EC TA path to CA1 is important in forming and consolidating long-term spatial memory¹⁷,¹⁸. Recent studies reported that the direct MEC–CA1 excitatory projection is involved in time-related event encoding¹⁹ and the formation of temporal association memory²⁰, while correlated neuronal activity in the direct LEC–CA1 circuit underlies olfactory cue–place associative learning¹¹. However, it remains unclear how these direct synaptic paths from MEC and LEC transfer distinct information streams to hippocampal CA1. In particular, accumulating evidence has revealed a high degree of CA1 PC heterogeneity in gene expression²¹–²⁴, dendritic morphology²⁵, connectivity with local inhibitory interneurons (INs)²⁶ and CA2 PCs²⁷, in vitro spiking patterns²⁸, electrophysiological marks during theta oscillations²⁹ and sharp-wave ripples³⁰ in vivo, and coding dynamics during spatial learning³¹. The neuronal heterogeneity may imply the potential existence of distinct subcircuits among EC–CA1 connections.

In the present study, using optogenetic approaches, we mapped direct LEC–CA1 and MEC–CA1 connectivity and examined their roles in olfaction-based associative learning in mice. Using channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2)-assisted circuit mapping (CRACM)³² and trans-synaptic viral tracing, we found that LEC neurons selectively formed direct excitatory synapses onto a distinct subpopulation of morphologically complex, calbindin (Calb)-expressing PCs in the dorsal CA1 (dCA1), while MEC neurons homogenously innervated all dCA1 PCs. Optogenetic inactivation of the LEC–dCA1 Calb⁺ PC circuit hampered associative learning in a simple olfaction-based Go–No-go task. Moreover, in vivo optetrode recording in the dCA1 of behaving mice further demonstrated that Calb⁺ PCs developed more selective odor representations in spike responses than their neighboring PCs during learning. Thus, our findings reveal a distinct direct LEC–dCA1 path that is required for olfactory associative learning.
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RESULTS

Morphological and molecular characterization of two PC subclasses in the dCA1

We first verified the existence of morphologically different subtypes of dCA1 PCs by quantitatively measuring neuronal morphologies reconstructed from 354 whole-cell recorded PCs in acute hippocampal slices. Consistent with a previous result\textsuperscript{25}, we observed two subclasses of reconstructed dCA1 PCs based on the apparent differences in the apical dendritic arborization: one subtype with a single apical dendritic trunk and another with twin apical trunks that branched out near the soma (Fig. 1a). To classify these morphologically distinct subtypes without subjective bias, we developed two quantitative indices, the length ratio index (LRI) and the branch-order ratio index (ORI) to measure the dendritic length- and order-weighted similarity, respectively, of two subtypes bifurcated at any branch point in the apical dendrite (see Online Methods for equations), and higher weight values were found at those dendritic branch-points that had larger subtrees of roughly equal size. As a result, the branch-point with the maximum value of LRI (LRI\textsubscript{max}) or ORI (ORI\textsubscript{max}) was regarded as the indicative value for a given cell (Fig. 1a and Online Methods). The LRI\textsubscript{max} and ORI\textsubscript{max} values from PCs with twin apical trunks were significantly higher than those from PCs with a single apical trunk.

The k-means cluster analysis of the two-dimensional matrix, consisting of LRI\textsubscript{max} and ORI\textsubscript{max} values of 354 reconstructed dCA1 PCs, suggested the existence of two morphologically different PC subgroups (Fig. 1b), supported by the jump analysis to evaluate the optimal number of clusters\textsuperscript{33} (Supplementary Fig. 1a–c). Sholl analysis on dendritic trees further showed that these two subgroups exhibited significant differences in the complexity of apical dendrites within the stratum radiatum (SR) layer, but not in basal dendrites (P < 0.01, unpaired t-test; Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 1d,e), and that the PCs with twin apical trunks had longer apical dendrites with more branch points (Supplementary Table 1). We hereafter refer to these two morphologically distinct groups as simple PCs (sPC) and complex PCs (cPC). This classification was nearly identical to that based on principal component analysis of LRI\textsubscript{max} and ORI\textsubscript{max} (Supplementary Fig. 1f–h and Supplementary Table 2). On the other hand, these two subgroups showed little difference in most electrophysiological properties (Supplementary Table 3). Both were intrinsically regular-spiking cells, with similar extents of intrinsic excitability and accommodated spiking (Supplementary Fig. 2).

We also noted that in the dCA1 stratum pyramidale (SP), cell bodies of cPCs and sPCs tended to reside preferentially in the superficial and deep (close to stratum oriens) sub-layers, respectively (Fig. 1d). Such distribution gradient is reminiscent of the reported expression pattern of the calcium–binding protein calbindin (Calb) among dCA1 PCs\textsuperscript{22}. Indeed, we observed that 52.4% of cPCs (n = 103) but only 4.4% of sPCs (n = 68) were immunolabeled by monoclonal antibodies against Calb-D28K after whole-cell recordings ([P] = 2.1 × 10^{-19}, Pearson’s chi-squared test; Fig. 1e,f). As washout of cytoplasmic Calb during whole-cell recording could cause antibody staining to fail, we further performed single-cell reverse-transcription (RT)-PCR, a more sensitive method, to detect Calb transcripts in morphologically identified cPCs and sPCs (Fig. 1g). Calb transcripts were detected in nearly all examined cPCs (13 of 14 cells) but only in 3 of 17 sPCs ([P] = 3.9 × 10^{-9}, Fisher’s exact test; Fig. 1h). These results consistently suggested that in the dCA1 SP layer, most superficial cPCs expressed Calb, while deep sPCs less often expressed Calb. Notably, the NEURON simulation (http://www.neuron.yale.edu/neuron) using these two PC morphology models showed that introducing tonic or phasic excitatory conductance in the distal tuft dendrite resulted in larger somatic excitation in sPCs than in cPCs, despite similar local dendritic excitations in both types (Supplementary Fig. 3). Such effect could be partially attributed to relatively longer (Supplementary Table 1) and thinner apical dendrites after bifurcating in cPCs than in sPCs\textsuperscript{25}.

Heterogeneity of direct EC TA projections to sPCs and cPCs

We next compared the excitatory synaptic connections onto dCA1 sPCs and cPCs formed by projection axons of the EC TA and hippocampal CA3 Shaffer collaterals (SC) paths, which correspond to the direct and indirect paths, respectively. To map the long-range targeting of EC TA projections, we used CRACM\textsuperscript{32} with a custom-built acousto-optic deflector-based rapid laser stimulation system\textsuperscript{34}. Adeno-associated virus (AAV) AAV-hSyn-ChR2-mCherry was stereotaxically injected into either LEC or MEC to achieve selective neuronal expression of ChR2 within the targeted EC areas (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 4a). Fluorescent PP and TA axons were present in the DG molecular (MO) and CA1 stratum lacunosum (SLM) layers in the hippocampus, respectively (Fig. 2b). Consistent with previous results\textsuperscript{3}, PP axons from LEC and MEC layer 2 stellate cells were segregated in the outer and middle third of DG MO, respectively (Fig. 2b), while TA axons from LEC and MEC layer 3 PCs were intermingled in CA1 SLM, with opposite distal–proximal gradients along the hippocampal transverse axis (Supplementary Fig. 4b). We chose slices prepared from the dorsal part of the hippocampus, −2.0 to −2.6 mm relative to bregma (Supplementary Fig. 4b) for the following CRACM assay.

For the CRACM assay, a 10 × 10 grid of 473-nm laser spot stimuli with 40-µm interspot intervals, covering the entire CA1 SLM and DG MO layers, was set to activate ChR2\textsuperscript{2} EC axons around distal apical dendrites of recorded dCA1 PCs (Fig. 2c). Laser stimuli at 0.5–2 mW were sufficient to activate ChR2\textsuperscript{2} EC TA axons and evoke postsynaptic currents (PSC\textsubscript{CRACM}) recorded in the dCA1 PC soma, with average latency of 4.52 ± 0.16 ms (n = 15). Increasing laser power occasionally evoked polysynaptic PSC\textsubscript{CRACM} in only two CA2 inhibitory INs (Supplementary Fig. 5a). Bath application of picrotoxin (50 µM), a specific antagonist of GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors, did not significantly change amplitudes or spatial maps of discrete PSC\textsubscript{CRACM} on the distal apical dendrite of dCA1 PCs, although it slightly decreased the latency (Supplementary Fig. 5b,c). This suggests that the direct EC TA inputs were mainly composed of excitatory transmissions to dCA1 PCs and generated excitatory PSC\textsubscript{CRACM} (EPSC\textsubscript{CRACM}). Furthermore, we found that when voltage-activated Na\textsuperscript{+} - and K\textsuperscript{+}-channel blockers tetrodotoxin (0.5 µM) and 4-aminopyridine (4-AP, 100 µM) were applied as described previously\textsuperscript{32}, the discrete map of evoked EPSC\textsubscript{CRACM} remained, although their amplitudes were reduced by 61.5 ± 10.7% (n = 5; P = 0.01, paired t-test; Supplementary Fig. 5d,e), suggesting that EPSC\textsubscript{CRACM} mainly resulted from activation of monosynaptic connections. All these results indicated that our CRACM method could reliably assay the direct EC excitatory inputs onto the dCA1 PC.

Using CRACM, we first mapped the LEC TA projections onto dCA1 sPCs and cPCs, which were identified by morphological reconstruction after whole-cell recording. With light activation of ChR2\textsuperscript{2} LEC TA axons, monosynaptic EPSC\textsubscript{CRACM} and its discrete synaptic map were found in 62.4% of 101 cPCs but only in 8.3% of 96 sPCs in the dCA1 ([P] = 9.3 × 10^{-15}, Pearson’s chi-squared test; Fig. 2d,e), suggesting that cPCs were being specifically targeted. In each hippocampal slice, we also recorded neighboring CA2 PCs, which are known to be heavily innervated by EC layer 2 PP axons\textsuperscript{16,27}, to evaluate the axonal ChR2 expression. We found that 88.9% of recorded 91 CA2 PCs were responsive to the same photoactivation (Fig. 2e). The mean amplitudes
Figure 1: Morphological and molecular characterization of two distinct types of dCA1 PCs. (a) Representative neuronal morphologies reconstructed from sPCs and cPCs, labeled with values of the LRI and ORI for individual branch-points along apical dendrites. The size and color of circles depict the magnitude of LRI and ORI values. (b) K-means cluster analysis for cataloging sPCs (blue) and cPCs (red) according to their $LRI_{\text{max}}$ and $ORI_{\text{max}}$. (c) Sholl analysis of dendritic complexity (60-µm step). Ranges with significant differences between the two subtypes are labeled ($P < 0.01$, unpaired $t$-test; exact $P$-values in the Supplementary Methods Checklist). Error bars show s.e.m. (d) Somata distribution of sPCs and cPCs in the superficial, middle and deep divisions of CA1 stratum pyramidale (SP). SO, stratum oriens. (e) Calb immunostaining in morphologically identified sPCs and cPCs. Arrowhead, principal branch-point. Scale bars, 100 µm (left) and 10 µm (right). (f) Percentages of Calb-immunostained cells in morphologically identified sPCs and cPCs ($P = 2.1 \times 10^{-10}$, Pearson’s chi-squared test). (g) Detection of Calb transcripts in morphologically identified sPC and cPC by single-cell RT-PCR. (h) Percentages of Calb-transcription cells among sPCs and cPCs ($P = 3.9 \times 10^{-5}$, Fisher’s exact test).
of the maximum EPSC\textsubscript{CRACM} values of the sPCs and cPCs in dCA1 and the CA2 PCs were 0, 20 ± 2 and 195 ± 36 pA, respectively (n = 6 mice, at 2-mW laser power; P = 0.031, sPCs versus cPCs, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; Fig. 2f). Notably, blocking GABAergic transmission with picrotoxin (50 \mu M) did not significantly affect the differential targeting of LEC TA axons onto the dCA1 sPC and cPC (\(P = 0.89\) for sPC and \(P = 0.62\) for cPC, respectively; Pearson's chi-squared test; Supplementary Fig. 5h). In contrast, when we applied the same CRACM to map synaptic targets of MEC TA axons, nearly all tested dCA1 sPCs and cPCs showed evoked mono-EPSC\textsubscript{CRACM} and discrete synaptic maps (87.5 and 93.8\% for sPCs and cPCs, respectively; no difference by Pearson's chi-squared test; Fig. 2g,h). Moreover, little difference was found in the mean amplitude of maximum EPSC\textsubscript{CRACM} between sPCs and cPCs (sPCs: 20 ± 6 pA, cPCs: 31 ± 9 pA, n = 6 mice; \(P = 0.063\), Wilcoxon signed-rank test; Fig. 2i). Similarly, MEC axons also formed synapses onto nearly all tested CA2 PCs (Fig. 2h). The strengths of direct MEC excitatory synapses onto CA1 and CA2 PCs were similar to those found in recent studies.\textsuperscript{27,35}

Taken together, these mapping results directly suggest that LEC TA axons formed excitatory synapses preferentially targeting cPCs, while MEC TA axons homogeneously innervated all PCs in the dCA1. Such differential projection of LEC and MEC TA axons to CA1 PCs stayed along the proximodistal (CA3 to subiculum) axis of dCA1 (Supplementary Fig. 5i). In agreement with previous studies,\textsuperscript{28,36,37} we also found direct inhibitory transmission from LEC to MEC to only a small proportion of PCs in both dCA1 and CA2, without differential targeting onto dCA1 cPCs and sPCs from LEC and MEC (Supplementary Fig. 6).

We also compared the strength of local CA3 SC excitatory synapses on dCA1 cPCs and sPCs, by simultaneously recording EPSCs from pairs of neighboring cPC and sPC in response to electrical stimulation at CA3 SC axons (Supplementary Fig. 7a). We found that evoked EPSCs in cPCs were ~2.7-fold larger than those in sPCs (Supplementary Fig. 7b,c), suggesting differential strengths in feedforward CA3 SC inputs to these two dCA1 PC subgroups when the indirect EC–CA1 circuit was activated.

### Anterograde trans-synaptic viral tracing of direct EC–CA1 projections

We further verified the differential targeting of EC TA axons onto dCA1 cPCs and sPCs using anterograde trans-synaptic viral tracing,\textsuperscript{8,39} with modified herpes simplex virus type 1 H129 (HSV-1-G3; Fig. 3a). Approximately 5.5 d after injecting HSV-1-G3 to LEC in wild-type (WT) mice, trans-synaptic transport of HSV-1-G3(-GFP) could be very initially detected in CA1, CA2 and DG. Notably, labeled dCA1 PCs showed typical twin apical dendrites (Fig. 3b). In contrast, HSV-1-G3 injection to MEC yielded substantially more trans-synaptically labeled dCA1 PCs (Fig. 3b). We repeated the HSV-1-G3 injection procedure in Calb2-ires-Cre::Ai9 mice, in which 83.7 ± 1.2\% of Calb\textsuperscript{+} PCs in dCA1 SP layer but <10\% of GABAergic INs across all layers were genetically labeled by the red fluorescence protein tdTomato (Supplementary Fig. 8; and see Online Methods for detailed characterization of transgenic mice). At 5–5.5 d after injection to LEC and MEC in transgenic mice, HSV-1-G3(-GFP) was detected in 75 ± 1.2\% and 40 ± 1.0\% of tdTomato\textsuperscript{+} Calb\textsuperscript{+} CA1 PCs, respectively, along the anterior–posterior axis of dorsal hippocampus (\(P = 5.1 \times 10^{-12}\), Mann-Whitney U-test; Fig. 3c,d). These trans-synaptic tracing results further supported the hypothesis that LEC TA axons preferentially targeted Calb\textsuperscript{+} cPCs in the dCA1 while MEC TA axons formed synapses unselectively onto both cPCs and sPCs. However, due to severe infection spread and tissue deterioration 5.5 d after the injection to
We also conducted double immunogold-labeling electron microscopy (EM) experiments to detect ultrastructures of EC TA synapses on distal dendrites of dCA1 Calb<sup>+</sup> cPCs after the AAV-hSyn-ChR2-mCherry injection to LEC or MEC (Online Methods). Under transmission EM, typical asymmetric synaptic ultrastructures were detected for both LEC–cPC (Fig. 3e) and MEC–cPC (Fig. 3f) direct connections, in which small mCherry immunogold silver-labeled particles (~25 nm) and bigger Calb-labeled particles (~60 nm) were associated with presynaptic axonal terminals and postsynaptic densities, respectively. This provides direct structural evidence that EC TA axons formed direct excitatory synapses on dCA1 cPCs.

Homogeneity of direct EC TA projections to most dCA1 interneurons

We further mapped direct EC excitatory projections to parvalbumin (Pavlb) or somatostatin (Sst)-expressing inhibitory INs in the dCA1 using the same CRACM assay described above in Pavlb-Cre::Ai9 and Sst-IRES-Cre::Ai9 transgenic mice, in which these two INs are genetically labeled by tdTomato. With additional reconstruction of IN morphology, we found that axo-axonic cells and basket cells (both expressing Pavlb) as well as bistratified cells (expressing both Pavlb and Sst; Fig. 4a)<sup>13,21</sup> were directly innervated by TA excitatory axons from both LEC and MEC, with similar synaptic strengths (no differences in Pearson's chi-squared test and Mann-Whitney U-test; Fig. 4b,c). Thus, these CRACM results suggest that unlike the differential projections from LEC and MEC to dCA1 PCs, EC TA excitatory axons homogenously innervated most dCA1 INs.

Inactivation of direct LEC–dCA1 cPC circuit slows olfactory associative learning

It has been proposed that the LEC transfers olfaction<sup>9–13</sup>, item- and object-related<sup>7,8</sup> nonspatial information into the hippocampus<sup>6,14</sup>. A recent study demonstrated that odor-induced coherent neural activities at 20–40 Hz were elevated between LEC and distal CA1 during olfactory cue–place associative learning, presumably via the direct pathway<sup>11</sup>. We thus tested whether the distinct LEC TA–dCA1 cPC direct circuit, which we identified here, is involved in olfactory associative learning using a simple Go–No-go behavioral task. In the task, headfixed mice (to minimize potential spatial interference<sup>4,5</sup>) were trained to associate two odorants, ethyl acetate and methyl butyrate, with water licking (go) and nonlicking (no-go) behaviors, respectively<sup>40</sup> (Fig. 5a). Each training trial consisted of a 1-s odor sampling period and subsequent 2-s delay, followed by a 0.5-s response cue (blue LED light), at which mice had to decide whether to lick or not based on the odor identity (Fig. 5b). The animal was rewarded with 8–10 µL water when licking occurred within a 0.5-s response window after the cue in the Go trials only, and the behavioral performance (index) was calculated as the sum of hit and correct rejection trials divided by the total 20 trials in each block (Fig. 5b).

We first tested whether the direct TA synapses from LEC or MEC contributed to olfaction-based associative learning. To this end, we injected AAV-CaMKII<sub>α</sub>-NpHR-EYFP or AAV-CaMKII<sub>α</sub>-EYFP (control) into LEC or MEC in both hemispheres, and two optical fibers were bilaterally implanted above the dCA1 SR to deliver yellow light for activating CI<sup>−</sup> pump halorhodopsin (NpHR) in EC TA axons (Fig. 5c). Only behavioral results from those animals in which a confined viral expression was seen within LEC or MEC in the histological check were included for analysis in the following experiments. Laser light at 589 nm (3-s duration, 10 mW at the fiber tip) was applied to the odor sampling and delay periods in all blocks during the first 2 d and in interleaved
blocks on the third day. Control mice, which expressed EYFP only, steadily improved their behavioral performance over 2 training days and maintained over 90% performance ratings on the third day under laser illumination in the dCA1 (Fig. 5c). However, in mice expressing NpHR in LEC, similar laser illumination combined with optogenetic inactivation of LEC excitatory TA axons in the dCA1 significantly slowed the learning process in the first 2 d, and interleaved inactivation in every other block transiently decreased the performance on
the third day \((n = 10; P = 9.7 \times 10^{-8}\) for the first 2 d, two-way mixed-design ANOVA; \(P = 1.68 \times 10^{-4}\) for the third day, Mann-Whitney U-test; Fig. 5c and Supplementary Tables 4, 6 and 7). In contrast, optogenetic inactivation of LEC excitatory PP axons in the DG hilus did not affect learning at all \((P = 0.44\) for the first 2 d and \(P = 0.43\) for the third day; Fig. 5d, Supplementary Fig. 10b and Supplementary Tables 4, 6 and 7). Similarly, inactivating NpHR+ MEC TA axons in the dCA1 also had no effect on learning \((n = 10; P = 0.98\) for the first day).
Figure 6 Calb+ cPCs develop more selective odor representations during learning. (a) Behavioral performance of Calb2-IRESCre::Ai35 mice implanted with optetrodes in dCA1 (insert: scale bar, 1 mm). (b) Top: optogenetically identified units from dCA1 Calb+ PC (red) and Calb- PC (blue) in vivo, based on 589-nm, 10-mW laser-induced suppression of baseline spiking activity (raster and peristimulus time histogram (PSTH) plots). Bottom: spike raster, rate plots and corresponding OSI during odor sampling in Go and No-go trials, respectively. Error bars represent s.e.m. (c) PV analysis for odor-specific representations of dCA1 Calb+ and Calb- units over 3 d of learning. Black dashed lines, 95% chance level (*P < 0.05 for comparison between original cPC PDI vs. 95% confidence interval of bootstrapped sPC PDIs or vice versa). (e) Histogram distribution of the 1.5-s OSI (top) and cumulative percentage of absolute 1.5-s OSI (bottom) of 46 dCA1 Calb+ and 232 Calb- units (P = 0.004 and 4.2 × 10^{-7}, two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and Mann-Whitney U-test, respectively). (f) Correlation between the behavioral performance and the averaged absolute mean OSI of Calb+ (red) and Calb- PCs (blue) between different mice over 3 d of learning. Each dot represents mean values from a mouse on a given day. Lines, linear regression; P = 0.01 and 0.02 for 21 Calb+ PCs and 30 Calb- PCs, respectively, measured from 10 mice over 3 d.
2 d and \( P = 0.88 \) for the third day; Fig. 5e, Supplementary Fig. 10c and Supplementary Tables 4, 6 and 7), despite their homogeneous innervations of nearly all PCs and INs (Figs. 2–4). Thus, these results strongly suggest that the LEC TA–dCA1 direct path, but not the MEC TA–dCA1 direct path or the LEC PP–DG indirect path, was required for the acquisition of olfactory associations in learning.

Next, we examined the role of postsynaptic dCA1 Calb\(^+\) cPCs in learning. The Calb2-IREs-Cre mice were crossed with Ai35 (Rosa-CAG-LSL-Arch-GFP-WPRE) mice to achieve specific expression of yellow photoactivated proton pump Archaerhodopsin (Arch) in most Calb\(^+\) cells in the hippocampus. Bilaterally suppressing Arch\(^+\) cPC activity by 589-nm laser illumination above the dCA1 significantly impaired learning compared with Ai35 control littermates (\( n = 10; \ P = 0.005 \) for the first 2 d and \( P = 0.04 \) for the third day; Fig. 5f, Supplementary Fig. 10d and Supplementary Tables 5, 8 and 9).

In another batch of mice, we advanced the optical fibers into the DG hilus to suppress neuronal activity of Arch\(^+\) granule cells and found that it did not affect learning (\( P = 0.16 \) for the first 2 d and \( P = 0.62 \) for the third day; Fig. 5h and Supplementary Tables 5, 8 and 9). Moreover, when the odor Go–No-go contingencies were reversed in the same tested mice (on days 4–6), the reversed olfactory association was again differentially affected by separate inactivation of each of these three circuits (\( n = 9–11 \) mice; Supplementary Fig. 11 and Supplementary Tables 10–15). Notably, we observed that, in well-trained Calb2-IREs-Cre:Ai35 mice on days 4–5, optogenetically inactivating postsynaptic Calb\(^+\) cPCs in the dCA1 did not affect performance (Fig. 5g). This suggests that, once the association is well established, activity of hippocampal CA1 cPCs was not required for this simple task, a result that is consistent with the previous findings\(^{31,42}\).
Taken together, the above behavioral results from inactivating different EC–hippocampus circuits support a critical role for the direct LEC–dCA1 cPC circuit in the acquisition of olfactory associations.

**Neuronal-activity correlates of learning in dCA1 PCs**

Since odor-induced neuronal activities have been recorded in the LEC5–11 and hippocampal CA1 neurons12,13, we conducted in vivo recording in the dCA1 to elucidate how cPCs and sPCs were differentially involved in olfactory associative learning. For this purpose, we implanted optotrodes in the dCA1 of Calb2-IRES-Cre::Ai35 mice (n = 10, Supplementary Fig. 10e), which exhibited the same learning ability (Fig. 6a). Because photosensitive Arch was selectively expressed in most Calb+ cPCs and in few INs in the dCA1 (Supplementary Fig. 8e,g,h), we were able to optogenetically identify this PC subpopulation based on their inhibitory response (relative to the baseline spiking activity) to the repeated 589-nm laser illumination (2-min duration, 10 mW; Fig. 6b; and see Online Methods and Supplementary Fig. 12 for optogenetic tagging methods with Arch versus ChR2). In total, we recorded 278 single dCA1 PCs in the three consecutive days of training, of which 198 showed increased or decreased spiking rates in response to the two task-related odors, with varied amplitudes and selectivity (Supplementary Fig. 13 and Supplementary Table 16). The other 80 units were not responsive to either odor; notably, 75 of these nonresponsive units did not show inhibitory responses to the 589-nm laser illumination and thus likely were Arch-Calb+ sPCs (Supplementary Table 16). As shown in Figure 6b, odor-evoked responses from optogenetically identified Calb+ cPCs and Calb− sPCs exhibited different magnitudes of odor selectivity index (OSI; Online Methods).

By pooling OSIs of all 278 units according to individual learning days (Fig. 6c) for population vector (PV) analysis, we found that the cPC population showed substantially larger changes in the PV differential index for their odor-evoked spike responses in the first 2 d in comparison with the sPC population (bootstrapped 100 times, P < 0.05; Fig. 6d). However, PV differential indexes for both populations decreased to similar extents on the third day (Fig. 6d). Over 3 d, the OSIs of all sPC units showed a single Gaussian distribution (R² = 0.98, median = −0.15), while that of all cPCs apparently had two discrete Gaussian distributions (R² = 0.82, medians: −0.47 and 0.58 for Calb−; P = 0.004 for Calb− versus Calb+ PCs, two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test; Fig. 6c). Cumulative distributions of absolute values of OSI further indicated that Calb+ cPCs showed much greater odor selectivity than sPCs (P = 4.2 × 10−7, Mann-Whitney U-test; Fig. 6e). Moreover, separated analysis of neuronal correlates from the correct and error trials in the task suggested that the mean firing rate and specificity of odor responses in the cPCs were higher than those of the sPCs when animals made correct responses (hit or correct rejection; Supplementary Fig. 14). This implies that cPCs could play more important roles relevant to the behavioral performance. Consistent with this idea, we indeed observed that the mean OSI of cPCs was better correlated with behavioral performance than that of sPCs in individual mice (Fig. 6f). Thus, these in vivo recording results suggest that Calb+ cPCs in the dCA1 play more pivotal roles in the representation of odor association during olfactory learning.

**Comparison of place-field properties between dCA1 sPCs and cPCs**

The observed homogeneous projection of MEC TA axons to dCA1 sPCs and cPCs (Fig. 2g–i) may suggest that the two PC groups receive equivalent amounts of spatial information from the MEC and thus have similar spatial properties. To test this idea, we used a U-maze to examine the place fields44 of dCA1 sPCs and cPCs in n = 5 Calb2-IRESCre::Ai35 mice after the associative learning task (Fig. 7a and Online Methods). We used same 589-nm laser illumination, applied before or during the maze exploration, to optogenetically tag single units recorded from Calb+ cPCs or Calb− sPCs (Fig. 7b,c). As shown by example PCs in Figure 7c, the cPCs and sPCs recorded by an optotrode were both place cells, displaying robust spike discharges at their distinctive place fields when the mice shuttled through the U-shaped path in either direction. In total we recorded 83 Calb+ cPC and 235 Calb− sPC units, of which 45.8% and 52.8%, respectively, were clearly characterized as place cells, indicating no difference in the ratio between these two cell populations (P = 0.33, Pearson’s chi-squared test; Fig. 7d). Moreover, place cells in the two populations could encode equivalent amounts of spatial information (P = 0.28, Mann-Whitney U-test; Fig. 7e). Thus, these results suggest that dCA1 cPCs and sPCs can equally encode spatial information.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we delineated detailed synaptic targets of direct MEC and LEC excitatory TA axons onto CA1 neurons in the dorsal hippocampus and their differential roles in the olfactory associative learning. More importantly, we revealed a distinct LEC–dCA1 cPC direct circuit that is critically involved in olfactory associative learning.

Accumulating evidence indicates that excitatory PCs in the hippocampal CA1 region have highly variable molecular, morphological and electrophysiological characteristics along the dorso–ventral33,34, proximal–distal24,28,45 and radial (superficial–deep) axes22–24,26,29–31. Particularly along the radial axis, subdivisions of deep and superficial PCs in the CA1 have been long recognized. Deep and superficial PCs are generated at different times and express different genes22–24,46. Recent in vivo recording studies reported different spiking patterns between these two sublayers: deep cells were more likely to burst and exhibited more spatially tuned firing than superficial cells29, and they spiked differentially in the hippocampal theta rhythm and sharp-wave ripple activity30. In many studies, Calb has been used as a prominent neurochemical marker for roughly differentiating the superficial and deep CA1 PCs26,27,30. Here we demonstrated that these superficial Calb+ PCs have more complex dendritic arborizations with twin apical trunks (cPCs), while deep Calb− PCs display simple arborizations with a single apical trunk (sPCs; Fig. 1). Moreover, unlike the two morphologically distinct PC subgroups in the subiculum border28, dCA1 cPCs and sPCs have nearly identical intrinsic spiking patterns and properties (Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 3). However, they behaved differentially in a brain-state-dependent manner in vivo (Supplementary Fig. 15), in agreement with previous observations29. Thus, our characterization of dCA1 PCs on morphological, molecular and electrophysiological aspects provides an integrated view of the previously reported individual heterogeneous properties of PCs21–31, endorsing the existence of two distinct types of Calb-profiled PCs.

Our CRACM assay further elucidated detailed synaptic maps of the direct TA path from LEC and MEC to different types or layers of dCA1 neurons. This path constitutes at least three major projections. First, LEC excitatory TA axons formed synapses selectively onto dCA1 cPCs, whereas MEC excitatory axons targeted all dCA1 PCs. Second, excitatory TA axons from both LEC and MEC homogeneously innervated most Pavlb+ and Sst+ CA1 INs. Third, LEC and MEC both sent direct inhibitory axons to dCA1 but only innervated small proportions of cPCs and sPCs there. Direct EC inhibitory projections were also found to differentially target different subtypes of CA1 INs36,37, providing a disinhibitory regulation on CA1 activity. Functionally, the direct EC inhibitory transmission to dCA1 was
shown to play roles in regulating CA1 network activity\textsuperscript{36} and gating the induction of an input-timing-dependent long-term potentiation of SC–CA1 synapses\textsuperscript{37}. Among the abovementioned direct EC–CA1 circuits, the last two produced feedforward and direct inhibitions to dCA1 PCs, respectively. These two forms of inhibition may modulate the direct EC excitatory inputs directly. However, we observed that applying picrotoxin had little effect on direct EC excitatory synapses on dCA1 PCs (Supplementary Fig. 5b,c,h) when we optogenetically activated both inhibitory and excitatory EC axons (ChR2 expression driven by the hSynapsin promoter). We are aware that picrotoxin can eliminate both long-range EC and local CA1 inhibitory transmission to PCs and INs in CA1 circuits, which may cancel out the effect of direct EC inhibitory transmission on EC excitatory inputs on CA1 PC dendrites. Thus, future experiments using optogenetic proteins exclusive to the EC inhibitory or excitatory neurons are required to examine how direct EC excitatory and inhibitory inputs are integrated in the CA1 PC.

The MEC and LEC have been proposed as transferring spatial context\textsuperscript{4,5} and nonspatial object\textsuperscript{6–8} or odor\textsuperscript{9–11,13} information to the hippocampus, respectively. Accordingly, our findings suggest that in the context of direct EC–CA1 circuits, nonspatial information from LEC may be transferred to a discrete group of dCA1 Calb+ cPCs, while spatial information from MEC could be widely and evenly distributed to most dCA1 cells. This notion is further supported by our observation that the proportions of place cells and the amount of encoded spatial information in the two PC subgroups were comparable (Fig. 7). Moreover, an indirect circuit via CA2, EC layer 2→CA2→CA1 or EC layer 2→DG→CA2→CA1, may transfer EC inputs preferentially to the deep Calb+ PCs in the CA1\textsuperscript{27}. Thus, we postulate that superficial CA1 cPCs could function as connection nodes, integrating spatial and nonspatial information\textsuperscript{37}, and may exert more prominent or unique functions in the process of associating and storing spatial information in the hippocampus. Notably, a proportion of dCA1 sPCs showed both odor-evoked spiking responses and small increases of specificity to presentation of odors during olfactory learning (Fig. 6d). Thus, their potential contributions to learning cannot be ignored.

Our study also found that the distinct LEC–dCA1 cPC direct circuit underlies olfactory associative learning. This underscores the notion that the direct EC–CA1 network is crucial for acquisition or recollection of cue-based associative recognition memory\textsuperscript{11,17,19}. We note that in both initial and reversed learning tasks, slopes of the learning curve in the first 2 d and the baseline performance rate on the third day (first block without laser stimulation) did not differ between the experimental and control groups, while on the third day, interleaved inactivation of the direct LEC–dCA1 circuit only transiently decreased the performance (Fig. 5). These two results support the idea that the direct path is more likely to contribute to the recollection of olfactory information (Fig. 5g). This is consistent with previous findings that memory may be transferred\textsuperscript{48} or mice may employ familiarity rather than recollection to resolve ambiguities\textsuperscript{52}. However, other previous studies showed that removing LEC inputs in well-trained rats, by local muscimol infusion\textsuperscript{12} or by lesioning the hippocampal fornix\textsuperscript{16}, dramatically impaired the animal’s performance in a complex multi-odor cue-discrimination Go–No-go task, but not in a simple two-cue discrimination task. These results are inconsistent with our finding that the LEC–dCA1 direct circuit was required for simple two-cue discrimination (Fig. 5c,f). This discrepancy may be due to the use of different inactivation methods. Chemical or surgical lesions often confound a circuit with unsppecific perturbations and overcompensatory functions, in comparison with more precise optogenetic methods\textsuperscript{49}.

Finally, our behavioral results (Fig. 5g) also support the conjunctive representation theory\textsuperscript{50}, in which the strength of an association begins and accumulates in the hippocampus and the formed association is then transferred to other cortical regions for long-term storage.

In conclusion, our study has elucidated the selective targeting of direct LEC excitatory projections to superficial Calb+ cPCs in the dCA1, forming a distinct LEC–dCA1 direct circuit that is critically involved in hippocampal-dependent olfactory associative learning. Given that many other distinct direct or indirect EC–hippocampal circuits exist, more studies will be needed to understand how these distinct circuits differentially or synergistically control various aspects of learning and memory.

METHODS

Methods, including statements of data availability and any associated accession codes and references, are available in the online version of the paper.

Note: Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.
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Viral vectors were obtained from the Calbi-2-RES-Cre (Iax No.010774) and Calbi-1-2A-dgCre (Iax No. 023313) knockin mice were crossed with the tdTomato reporter Ai9 (Iax No. 007905) to fluoroescnetly label Calb+ neurons. Cre stabilization in the Calbi-1-2A-dgCre line was induced by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of trimethoprim52 (TMP; Sangon, China) with a 29-gauge needle (250 µg/kg body weight, b.w.). A single injection or 7 consecutive single injections per d were made. In Calbi-2-RES-Cre: Ai9 mice, 83.7 ± 1.2% of tdTomato-labeled PCs in the CA1 SP layer and 99.7 ± 0.1% of tdTomato-labeled granule cells in the DG granule layer genetically expressed calbindin (Calb) along the anterior-to-posterior parts of dorsal hippocampus (Supplementary Fig. 8a,c,e,f). However, in the dorsal hippocampus less than 10% of GABA-staining inhibitory interneurons (INs) across all CA1 layers were labeled (Supplementary Fig. 8g,h). A similar pattern of genetic labeling was also observed using a Cre-immunostaining assay in Calbi-2-RES-Cre: Ai9 mice (Supplementary Fig. 8i,j). In contrast, in Calbi-1-2A-dgCre: Ai9 mice (after the 7-d TMP induction) only 11.1 ± 1.2% and 53.4 ± 4.4% of Calb-staining excitatory neurons were genetically labeled in the CA1 and DG areas, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 8b,d,e,f). These histological characterization results are largely consistent with that done by the Allen Brain Institute (http://connectivity.brain-map.org/transgenic). Thus, the Calbi-2-RES-Cre line displays better efficiency in genetic labeling and manipulating Calb+ PCs in the dCA1, and we therefore used this line rather than the Calbi-1-2A-dgCre line for this study.

Calbi-2-RES-Cre mice were also crossed with Ai35 mice (Rosa-CAG-LSL-Arch-GFP-WPRE, Iax. No. 012735) to selectively express Arch (ref. 53) in Calb+ neurons. Sst-RES-Cre (Iax. No 013044) and Pavlb-Cre (Iax. No. 008069) knockin mice were crossed with Ai9 mice to fluoroescnetly label Sst+ and Pavlb+ INs, respectively (Fig. 4).

Only adult male mice were used in the behavioral test and optotrope recording experiments. Prior to behavioral training, mice were housed in stable condition, with food and water supplied ad libitum. Once training started, water was given only during and after the training. During the experiment, specific care was taken to keep the animals’ b.w. above 80% of normal level. All mice were reared on a 12/12-h light/dark cycle. WT and transgenic mice were randomly assigned for each experiment, except that only male mice were assigned for behavioral tests. Surgical and experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Shanghai Institute for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS, Ref. No. NA-100418) and the State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience& Learning at Beijing Normal University (Ref. No.: IACUC-BNU-08). Whole-cell recordings from hippocampal neurons in the acute slices were done with an Axonpatch 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices, USA), using an upright microscope (FN1, Nikon, Japan) equipped with infrared differential interference contrast (DIC) optics. Recording micropipettes were made from borosilicate glass capillaries (B-120-69-15, Sutter Instruments, USA) with a Sutter P-97 puller and filled with the internal solution contained (in mM) 133 potassium-chloride, 4 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 0.3 mM CaCl2, 4 MgSO4, 26 NaHCO3, 11 dextrose, 1.3 sodium ascorbate and 0.6 sodium pyruvate (pH 7.30, 300 mosm; Sigma). After decapitation, the animal’s brain was rapidly dissected and transferred to ice-cold oxygenated sucrose-substituted aCSF. Coronal brain slices 350-µm thick were prepared with a Vibratome 3000 (Vibratome, USA) in oxygenated sucrose-substituted aCSF at 0 °C. The slices were transferred into an incubation chamber with normal aCSF, composed of (in mM) 125 NaCl, 1.25 NaHPO4, 2 CaCl2, 3 KCl, 2 MgSO4, 26 NaHCO3, 11 dextrose, 1.3 sodium ascorbate and 0.6 sodium pyruvate (pH 7.30, 300 mosm, oxygenated at 95% O2/5% CO2, Sigma), for 30 min at 34 °C and then at room temperature (25 ± 2 °C) for > 30 min before use.

Slice electrophysiology and CRAMC. Whole-cell recordings from hippocampal neurons in the acute slices were done with an Axonpatch 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices, USA), using an upright microscope (FN1, Nikon, Japan) equipped with infrared differential interference contrast (DIC) optics. Recording micropipettes were made from borosilicate glass capillaries (B-120-69-15, Sutter Instruments, USA) with a Sutter P-97 puller and filled with the internal solution contained (in mM) 133 potassium-chloride, 4 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 0.3 mM CaCl2, 4 MgSO4, 26 NaHCO3, 11 dextrose, 1.3 sodium ascorbate and 0.6 sodium pyruvate (pH 7.30, 300 mosm, oxygenated at 95% O2/5% CO2, Sigma), for 30 min at 34 °C and then at room temperature (25 ± 2 °C) for > 30 min before use.

Stereotoxic injection of virus. WT or transgenic mice were injected with AAV’s or HSVs to the LEC and MEC at postnatal days 14–16 (P14–P16, juvenile) or P60 (adult). The positions were (in mm): (i) −3.0 AP, 4.3 ML, 2.8 DV for the injection in juvenile LEC; (ii) −4.0 AP, 3.7 ML, 1.3 DV for the juvenile MEC; (iii) −3.65 AP, 4.5 ML, 2.65 DV for the adult LEC (anterior LEC); (iv) −4.8 AP, 3.4 ML, 1.5 DV for the adult MEC; (v) −4.15 AP, 4.25 ML, 2.15 DV for the adult posterior LEC; and (vi) −4.8 AP, 3.4 ML, 1.2 and 2.0 DV for the adult dorsal and ventral MEC, respectively. A Pico-spritzer (Parker Instruments, USA) generated air pulse puffs to a borosilicate glass micropipette filled with 1 µl AAV (tip size of 10 µm) at a delivery current rate ~0.1 µA/min during the injection. For the HSV delivery, 300 nL virus were injected using an electronic stereotactic injector and microliter syringe (Stoelting, USA) coupled with a glass micropipette. At the end of the injection, the micropipette was held in place for 10 min before retraction. After recovery from anesthesia in a stable-temperature incubator, the mice were returned to their home cages. Due to its viral nature, HSV can cause severe damage or deterioration to a large area of the EC over 3 d after the injection in LEC or MEC (Supplementary Fig. 9). However, trans-synaptic transport of HSV to the dCA1 can only be observed at 5–5.5 d post-injection. We thus did not try to use HSV to map the topography of EC–dCA1 projections along the dorsoventral axis in this study.

Preparation of acute hippocampal slices. The mice at P50–P55 were anesthetized by i.p. injection of sodium pentobarbital (120 mg/kg of b.w.) and then perfused with ice-cold oxygenated sucrose-substituted aCSF (20 ml) consisting of (in mM) 125 sucrose, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 2 CaCl2, 3 KCl, 2 MgSO4, 26 NaHCO3, 11 dextrose, 1.3 sodium ascorbate and 0.6 sodium pyruvate (pH 7.30, 300 mosm; Sigma). After decapitation, the animal’s brain was rapidly dissected and transferred to ice-cold oxygenated sucrose-substituted aCSF. Coronal brain slices 350-µm thick were prepared with a Vibratome 3000 (Vibratome, USA) in oxygenated sucrose-substituted aCSF at 0 °C. The slices were transferred into an incubation chamber with normal aCSF, composed of (in mM) 125 NaCl, 1.25 NaHPO4, 2 CaCl2, 3 KCl, 2 MgSO4, 26 NaHCO3, 11 dextrose, 1.3 sodium ascorbate and 0.6 sodium pyruvate (pH 7.30, 300 mosm, oxygenated at 95% O2/5% CO2, Sigma), for 30 min at 34 °C and then at room temperature (25 ± 2 °C) for > 30 min before use.

Virus construction and packaging. Viral vectors were obtained from the AddGene (USA). Viral titers were 2.5 × 1012 particles/mL for AAV-hSynapsin-Chr2-r-mCherry, 2 × 1011 particles/mL for AAV-CaMKIIntr-eNhR3-0.5TF4-EYFP, 7 × 1011 particles/mL for AAV-CaMKIIntr-EYFP (control), provided by Ohio Technology Co. Ltd. (China). The use of AAV virus were approved by the above two institutional committees.

The HSV-1-G3 virus was constructed from the H129 strain of herpes simplex virus type 1 by homologous recombination and applied as an anterograde plex virus type 1 by homologous recombination and applied as an anterograde metastasis when over 85% cells presented typical cytopathic effects (CPEs), then respectively (max 5 µm, duration, 0.5 Hz) were injected intracellularly into recorded PCs to induce regular spiking activity under the current-clamp configuration, while spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic currents (sIPSCs) were recorded when membrane potentials of PCs were clamped at 0 mV (the reserved potential of excitatory glutamatergic postsynaptic currents) under the voltage-clamp mode. The interevent intervals and amplitudes of individual sIPSCs were measured with a MiniAnalysis 6.03 (SynaptoSoft Inc., NJ, USA), and the mean values of frequency and amplitude were calculated for individual PCs.
To photo-inactivate most Calb²Arch² cells in CA1 in slices prepared from Calb2-ires-Cre:Ai35 mice, mercury lamp light was bandpass-filtered at 530–560 nm (Nikon) and delivered to the slice surface through a Nikon 10× water objective (N.A. 0.30; W.D. 3.5 mm), and the stimulation duration was controlled by a manual shutter in the microscope’s light path. Such yellow light illumination can cover most of all CA1 areas and effectively suppress the spike activity in Calb²Arch² cPCs recorded in the CA1 slice (Supplementary Fig. 12e,f).

CRACM followed a previous method42, using a homemade AOD-based rapid laser stimulation system43,45,46 and a 473-nm blue laser (50 mW; Cobolt Inc., Sweden). The laser beam was coupled into the light path of a Nikon FN1 upright microscope and delivered to the hippocampal slices through a water-immersion 10× objective (0.3 N.A., Nikon). The laser beam diameter was 5.2 µm at the slice surface. In the CRACM (Figs. 2 and 4), 10 × 10 grids (with intergrid intervals of 40 µm under the 10× objective) of laser pulses (1-ms duration; interspot intervals of 100 ms; intertrial intervals > 20 s) were delivered to activate photostimulated ChR2 channels on the EC projection axons. The grid area (400 × 400 µm²) covered the entire CA1 SLM and DG MO layers, centered at the cross point of the vertical line from soma and the border between SLM and MO layers, and then rotated parallel to the border (Fig. 2c). Photostimulation with consistent laser power across the grid positions (~2.5% spot-to-spot difference) was achieved by precalibrating the voltage magnitudes as previously described43.

In typical CRACM, laser stimulation is sequentially delivered to grid positions and repeated for five trials at different signal intensities (0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0 and 5.0 mW; Supplementary Fig. 5a). The laser-evoked excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCCRACM) were recorded from dCA1 PCs clamped at −70 mV, and inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCCRACM) were recorded at 0 mV in the presence of ionotropic glutamate receptor antagonists CNQX (10 µM, Sigma) and AP5 (50 µM, Tocris) in bath aCSF (Supplementary Fig. 6). The latter assay was to examine direct GABAergic projections from the EC to CA1. The latencies of EPSCCRACM or IPSCCRACM were <5 ms and unchanged under different laser intensity stimulations, implying monosynaptic events. This was further supported by the observation that adding tetrodotoxin (TTX, 0.5 µM) and 4-aminopyridine (4-AP, 100 µM; Sigma) substantially increased the EPSCCRACM amplitude by 61.50 ± 2.87% (P = 0.01, paired t-test; Supplementary Fig. 5d,e), consistent with a previous result52. However, in 12 other CRACM tests, addition of TTX and 4-AP completely suppressed EPSCCRACM even under 5-mW laser stimuli, possibly due to weak EC–CA1 PC excitatory transmissions on distal apical dendrites53,55. Moreover, the increasing distribution of voltage-dependent ionic channels, such as A-type K⁺ channels and hyperpolarization-activated cation (Ih) channels, from the soma to distal apical dendrite in the CA1 PC, substantially modulate the amplitude, kinetics and dendritic propagation of distal excitatory synaptic potentials (EPSPs)56,57. Indeed, application of ZD7288 (20 µM, Tocris), a selective blocker of the Ih channels that are enriched in the distal dendrites of CA1 PCs, slightly increased the EPSCCRACM amplitude (P = 0.16, paired Student’s t-test, Supplementary Fig. 5f,g). Moreover, in the EPSCCRACM analysis, application of specific GABAβ receptor blocker picrotoxin (50 µM, Sigma) did not significantly change the spatial map and amplitude of EPSCCRACM on the distal apical dendrites of PC but slightly decreased the EPSCCRACM latency (P = 0.004, paired t-test; Supplementary Fig. 5h,c). Polysynaptic responses were only observed in two CA1 INs under laser intensity ≥ 2 mW, with longer latencies (>10 ms) and high failure rates (Supplementary Fig. 5a). The cells that lacked EPSCCRACM under 5-mW laser intensity were defined as unresponsive cells. To quantitatively compare the strength of EC direct inputs to dCA1 cPCs, sPCs and CA2 PCs, the average EPSCCRACM amplitudes of individual cells in slices from the same mouse were pooled and the median EPSCCRACM with s.e.m. for the three different PC types were calculated for the animal. We used paired Wilcoxon signed-rank tests to test for significant difference when comparing the pooled median EPSCCRACM for these three types of PCs from all animals. This analysis method minimized the impact of variable levels of ChR2 expression among mice on the statistical comparison.

To compare the strength of CA3 Schaffer collateral (SC) excitatory synapses on the dCA1 cPC and sPC (Supplementary Fig. 7), we made paired recordings from the neighboring sPC and cPC (Vclamp = −70 mV, with bath application of 50 µM picrotoxin; Sigma), evoked by the field stimulation of CA3 SC axons using a concentric tungsten electrode (MCE-100; Rhodes Medical Instruments). The electrode was placed in the middle of CA1 SR layer (−50-µm depth), 350 µm away from the recording PCs, and electrical pulses (0.2-ms duration) were delivered with increasing stimulation intensity at 0.033 Hz via a Master 8 and an Isoflex isolator (A.M.P. Inc., Israel). Evoked EPSCs were averaged from ten sweeps for each stimulation intensity.

Evoked neuronal membrane potentials, EPSCs and IPSCs were recorded under current- and voltage-clamp modes. Electrical signals were filtered at 10 kHz (for potentials) or 5 kHz (for EPSCs; low pass), digitized at 10 kHz (Digidata 1440A, Molecular Devices, USA) and acquired by a computer with pClamp 10 (Molecular Devices). Recording was carried out at 25 ± 2 °C, maintained by a Warner temperature controller (TC-324B, USA).

Immunocytochemistry and confocal imaging. To reconstruct the neuronal morphology of recorded CA1 PCs, which were filled with biocytin during whole-cell recording, hippocampal slices were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, in 0.1 M phosphate buffer saline (PBS), pH 7.4) overnight at 4 °C immediately after recording. The fixed slices were stained with fluorophore-conjugated streptavidin (1:1,000, Invitrogen) against biocytin for 2 h at room temperature. Slices then were washed in PBS at least three times before imaging.

In brain section immunohistochemistry experiments (Fig. 1e and Supplementary Fig. 8), mice were perfused transcardially with 0.9% saline (20 ml) and then with PBS solution containing 4% PFA (20 ml). The brain was dissected out and further postfixed in 4% PFA for 6 h, and then stored in PBS over night at 4 °C. The fixed brain was cut into 70- to 100-µm thick coronal sections with a Vibratome (Leica VT1200S, Germany) and stored in PBS. Sections were incubated with the primary antibody for 24–48 h at 4 °C, washed with PBS, and then incubated with corresponding secondary antibodies conjugated with different Alexa Fluor dyes (1:1,000, Invitrogen) overnight at 4 °C. The primary antibodies used in the study were mouse anti-calbindin D28K (1:500, Swant, Switzerland) rabbit anti-GABA (1:1,000, Sigma) and rabbit anti-Cre (1:1,000, Covance). DAPI (1:1,000, Invitrogen) was added for nucleus staining.

Fluorescence images of recorded CA1 cells were acquired using a Zeiss LSM410 confocal microscope with a Plan-Apochromat 20× objective (0.75 N.A., Zeiss, Germany), with z-stacks of 2 µm per step. Other brain section images for characterizing transgenic mice were acquired by a Nikon A1R confocal microscope (Japan) with a Plan-Ap 20× objective (0.75 N.A., Nikon, Japan) with 1-µm step z-stacks. The overlaid histology images for each animal shown in Supplementary Figure 10 were produced following a method described previously40. Immunogold labeling in electron microscopy. Double immunogold–silver labeling of specific EC–CA1 cPC synapses in EM followed a protocol developed by a previous study43, with some modifications. In brief, adult WT mice injected with AAV-Irsynapsin-Chr2-mCherry in either LEC or MEC were transcardially perfused with 4% PFA in PBS, and 100 µm hippocampal sections were prepared with a vibratome. The sections were then permeabilized by treatment with 0.5% Triton X-100 PBS for 30 min and then incubated with mouse IgG against calbindin-D28K (1:500, Swant; immunoreactive to postsynaptic dendritic calbindin) and rabbit IgG against DaRed (rabbit IgG, 1:100, Clontech; immunoreactive to mCherry in presynaptic EC axons) at 4 °C overnight. After being thoroughly rinsed with PBS, sections were incubated with the first 1.4-nm nanogold–conjugated Fab fragments of goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody (1:40, Nanoprobes) at 4 °C overnight. The next day, after being thoroughly rinsed with PBS, sections were treated with high-quality (HQ) silver enhancer (Nanoprobes) for approximately 16 min. The sections were then incubated with the second 1.4-nm nanogold–conjugated Fab fragments of goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (1:40, Nanoprobes) at 4 °C overnight and postfixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in PBS for 2 h, followed by the second HQ silver enhancer treatment for approximately 6 min. The sections were fixed in 0.5% osmium tetroxide in PBS for 15 min, dehydrated and routinely embedded. They were then cut to prepare smaller section samples containing the CA1 SLM layer only. The 60- to 90-µm thick ultrathin sections were cut and stained with 4% aqueous uranyl acetate and 0.4% lead citrate. Presynaptic and postsynaptic loci of the direct EC–CA1 cPC synapses were identified by immunogold-silver labeled particles in ~25 nm and ~60 nm sizes, respectively, with a transmission electron microscope (FEI, Tecnai G2 20 TWin).

Analysis of neuronal morphology. Full three-dimensional neuronal morphologies of 354 recorded dCA1 PCs were reconstructed and analyzed. The stack-image data were traced and transformed into digital data using the Simple.
Neurite Tracer plug-in in Fiji (https://imagej.net/Simple_Neurite_Tracer) and NeuroLucida (MicroBrightField, VT, USA), respectively. The morphological parameters and Sholl analysis were measured using the TREES Toolbox in Matlab (MathWorks).

To quantitatively classify these morphologically distinct PCs, we developed two indices, Length Ratio Index (LRI) and Order Ratio Index (ORI) to measure the proportional difference of any two bifurcated subtrees from a branching point in the apical dendrite of a given PC. The two measures compare the two bifurcated subtrees at any branch point in the apical dendrite and compute a weight value for each branch-point:

\[ \text{LRI} = \log(\frac{L_1 + L_2}{L_1} \times \frac{L_1}{L_2}) \]

where \(L_1\) and \(L_2\) are the total lengths of the two bifurcated subtrees and \(L_1 < L_2\).

\[ \text{ORI} = \log[c \times (O_1 + O_2) \times (O_1/O_2)] \]

where \(O_1\) and \(O_2\) are the branching orders of the two bifurcated subtrees and \(O_1 < O_2\), and \(c\) is a scaling constant (set to 0.1).

Specifically, for LRI, both total length (\(L_1 + L_2\)) and the length ratio (\(L_1/L_2\)) affect the final score; the longer and more equal these two subtrees are, the larger the final LRI score would be. The same is true for ORI. As a result, the branch point showing the maximum values of LRI (\(LРИ\text{max}\)) and ORI (\(OРИ\text{max}\)) along the apical dendrite represented the principal branching point and its two subtrees possessed the maximal extent of morphology similarity. With the \(LРИ\text{max}\) and \(OРИ\text{max}\), of each PC, the 354 total reconstructed dCA1 PCs constituted a two-dimensional matrix for the cluster analysis. The \(k\)-means cluster algorithm (in Matlab) randomly selected \(k\) points (ranging from 2 to 10) as the initial cluster centers of the \(k\) clusters. The Euclidian distances from all the remaining points to these \(k\) centers were calculated, and each point was coupled with its closest center, which resulted in \(k\) initial groups. The cluster centers were then recalculated based on these prior \(k\) groups and each point was recoupled to these new centers. The same process was iteratively looped until the cluster centers remained unchanged (Fig. 1a,b). To determine a reasonable number of clusters within the data matrix, we adopted the Jump method to evaluate the quality of clustering process under each \(k\) and showed that \(k = 2\), under which the value of Jump peaked, was the optimal number of clusters (Supplementary Fig. 1a–c).

We also performed an additional clustering method using principal component analysis (PCA) with the measured dendritic morphology parameters (including \(LРИ\text{max}\) and \(OРИ\text{max}\)). The first two principal components from these three different combinations of these parameters were chosen for the following \(k\)-means cluster analysis to evaluate the clustering quality and determine the better data source to make the morphological classification (Supplementary Fig. 1f–h and Supplementary Table 2).

To quantify arborizations of both apical and basal dendrites, the total dendritic length, branch order and total branch points of both apical and basal dendrites were measured for the CPC and SPC groups, respectively. Sholl analysis, with 60-μm step-diameter concentric rings, was used to quantify the complexity of dendrites.

**Computational simulation.** The full morphology of 354 experimentally reconstructed dCA1 PCs was used in the computational simulation of the passive propagation of distal dendritic excitation to the soma with the TREES Toolbox in Matlab (MathWorks). Compartmentalized neuronal models were built with passive biophysical properties only and the membrane parameters were set as \(C_m = 1 \mu F/cm^2\), \(R_m = 30 \Omega cm^2\), \(R_i = 150 \Omega cm\) and \(V_{rest} = -70 mV\). For each neuronal model, a depolarizing step current (30 pA) was delivered to each distal apical dendrites (300 to 600 μm) to mimic synaptic excitation. Member depolarization amplitudes at the local dendrite and at the soma were measured and then compared between the SPC and CPC groups. All simulations were run with 0.1-ms time-steps and the nominal temperature was 37 °C.

**Single-cell RT-PCR.** Single-cell RT-PCR was performed as previously described, with some modifications. Cytoplasm from individual PCs was obtained from 10-min whole-cell recording, plus 1-min negative pressure applied by mouth. Harvested mRNA was first reverse-transcribed to cDNA using a Super Script III kit (Invitrogen), incubated at 50 °C for 50 min and then terminated at 65 °C for 20 min. The entire cDNA was then amplified by multiplex PCR for 25 cycles (94 °C for 40 s, 57 °C for 40 s, 72 °C for 60 s and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min), using QIAGEN Multiplex PCR Master Mix kit (Qiagen, Germany) in a volume of 100 μL. The transcripts of each tested gene were detected by a second round of PCR using an individual primer set: 1 μL of the multiplex PCR mixture was applied as a template in a 10-μL reaction with HotStarTaq polymerase (Tiangen, China) and cycled again (35 cycles of 94 °C for 40 s, 57 °C for 40 s, 72 °C for 60 s) and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were run on a 2% agarose gel stained with GelRed (Invitrogen) and visualized with ultraviolet light. The identities of the amplicons were confirmed by DNA sequencing (Sangon Biotech, China). Primers were designed to span at least one exon–intron boundary with an amplification product length within 350 bp. Primers for Ca^2+ were designed according to NCBI published sequence 12307: forward 5′-TCTATTCCGACGCGTACGGA-3′ and reverse 3′-GCCGCTTGTCGATCATTCA-5′. Primers for Vglut1, Gad1, Gad2 and Gapdh were used as in a previous work. To avoid false negatives, only cells showing PCR detection of both Vglut1 and Gapdh were counted; and to avoid false positives, cells with both Vglut1 and Gad1/2 were discarded (Fig. 1g).

**Optical fiber implantation and optogenetic inactivation in vivo.** Optical fibers (200 μm in diameter, 0.37 N.A., Thorlabs) were implanted in the targeted regions of the bilateral dorsal hippocampus at 10° angles (to leave space for connecting cables). The positions were (in mm): −2.1 AP, 2.0 ML and 1.2 or 0.8 DV for EC–CA1 axon or CA1 PC silencing, respectively (Fig. 5c,e,f and Supplementary Fig. 10a,c,d), and −2.1 AP, 1.6 ML and 1.75 or 1.5 DV for LEC–DG axon or DG granule cell silencing, respectively (Fig. 5d,h and Supplementary Fig. 10b,d). In behavioral experiments, fibers were coupled to an external fiber using standard FC connectors via the ceramic sleeve and then connected to a 589-nm laser (50 mW; Dreamlaser Tech. Co., China).

**Olfactory cue-based associative Go–No-go task.** The olfaction-based Go–No-go task (GNG) was modified for headfixed mice. A pair of odorants was used: ethyl acetate (odor A; boiling point 77.1 °C) and methyl butyrate (odor B; boiling point 102.8 °C; both from Sigma). Odors A and B were diluted in mineral oil (Sigma) at 1:500 and 1:1,000 (v/v), respectively. Three-way solenoid valves were used to control the odorized air flow (1.5 L/min), while two-way solenoid valves were used to control the water flow (1 mL/min) at millisecond resolution. The length of odor delivery Teflon tubes (2.5 mm i.d.) was minimized to decrease the odor turnover. An exhaust tube, connected to a vacuum pump, was used to deplete the residual odor and water. An infrared light beam was set beside the water port to detect animals’ licking behaviors, and a blue LED above the odor delivery port provided the response cue. The setup was controlled by a custom-designed microprocessor-based digital input–output interface. Behavioral training and tests were conducted on the same setup in a dark environment.

In the GNG task, odors A and B were set to associate with the water reward and lack of reward, respectively. In each trial, an odorant was delivered for 1 s, followed by a 2-s delay and subsequently a 0.5-s LED response cue (Fig. 5b). In the Go trial, the water valve switched on for 0.5 s immediately after the detection of animal’s licking behaviors within the 0.5-s response window, which we defined as a hit (H), and the mouse was rewarded with 8–10 μL water. If the mouse failed to lick within the response window (either licking before or after the response...
window or not licking at all), the response was defined as miss (M) and the valve did not switch on. In the No-go trial, the animal's licking was defined as a false alarm (FA), while no licking was defined as a correct rejection (CR; Fig. 5b). Mice were not given rewards or disincentives in No-go trials. The intertrial intervals were 6 s, and the total length of a trial was 10 s. Prior to the training, mice were restricted from drinking water and habituated to the holding tube in their home-cage for 1–2 d. The training included three phases: a habituation phase (3 d, 10 min/round, 2 rounds per d with a 10-h interval), a cue-conditioning phase (1–2 d, 100 trials/round, 2 rounds per d with a 10-h interval) and the GNG task (6–12 d, 200 trials per d). In the habituation phase, mice were head-fixed in the behavioral setup and trained to lick water from a polished 27G syringe positioned in front of its mouth, and the water valve opened for 0.5 s immediately after detecting the mouse licking. Typically after 3 d of habituation, mice learned to lick up to 2,000 times within 10 min. In the subsequent cue-conditioning phase, at the initial training, water was delivered manually through the syringe to encourage mice to lick within the response window after the response cue. Every 10 trials, manual water delivery was temporally withheld to check whether mice would lick for water spontaneously. Typically, mice developed spontaneous licking behavior in the second round, and cue-conditioning training ended when mice succeeded in consecutive 50 trials. Finally, the olfactory GNG task started the very next day, referred to as Day 1 and consisting of 100 Go and 100 No-go trials. The 200 trials were arbitrarily divided into 10 blocks (20 trials per block), and in each block 10 Go and 10 No-go trials were introduced pseudorandomly. After the GNG task, mice were supplied with free water until satiety. No human was present or intervened during the tasks.

Behavioral performance was quantified by an index ('performance' in the Figures) in each block as follows:

Performance = (H + CR) / Total trials

The rates of H and CR were calculated as:

RateH = 1H/(H + M)
RateCR = CR/(CR + FA)

Photoillumination was delivered for 3 s, which included the 1-s odor-delivery and 2-s delay periods (Fig. 5b). Notably, we observed that on Days 4 and 5, optogenetic inactivation of Calb + PCs in dCA1 did not affect the performance in Calb2-ires-cre+/Ai35 mice (Fig. 5g). This result implied that the expression or retrieval of a learned association may not require dCA1 PCs and suggested that optogenetic interference did not affect the behavioral output. Thus, starting from Day 4 we set a reversed-learning task, in which the initial odor of Go or No-go contingencies were reversed to further test the role of EC–CA1 circuitry. One extra nonreversed block was presented before the odor-association reverse trials, without the laser (Day 4). To minimize variation in the odor reverse period (Day 4), when mice stopped licking for 20 trials in the last given block, water was automatically delivered in the next 10 trials (5 Go and 5 No-go trials) to encourage them to lick. Note that on the training Days 3 and 6, laser illumination was delivered in interleaved blocks (Fig. 5c and Supplementary Fig. 11). We also tested another pair of odorants, 1-butanol (Odor C; boiling point 117.7 °C) and ethyl propionate (Odor D; boiling point 99.1 °C; both from Sigma), in the olfactory association learning on Days 7–9 in the same set of Calb2-ires-cre+/Ai35 and Ai35 mice; odor C was used as the Go cue and D as the No-go cue. The mice were able to acquire the associations with new odors similarly to their acquisition and transfer in vivo.

Optetrode recording in vivo. The optetrode assembly was described previously. Each tetrode was constructed by twisting a folded piece of four nickel-cadmium wires (13 µm, California Fine Wire). The tetrode wire tips were plated with gold (Cyanida Gold Solution, SIFCO Selective Plating) to adjust the impedance to 500–800 kΩ. An optical fiber (200 µm in diameter, 0.37 N.A., Thorlabs) was surrounded by eight tetrodes to make a 32-channel optetrode. Note that wire tips were 0.5 mm longer than the optical fiber end to achieve efficient photostimulation of recorded neurons in vivo. All data shown in Figure 6 were collected from n = 10 Calb2-ires-cre+/Ai35 transgenic mice in dCA1 during 3 d of learning (Supplementary Fig. 10e), with a 96-channel multielectrode recording system (Plexon Inc., USA). After optetrode implantation, mice were allowed to recover for at least 1 week. The optetrode position in CA1 sublayers was estimated on the basis of local field potential oscillation profiles. We advanced the optetrode by approximately 35 µm every other day until the electrode reached the SP layer. A helium-filled Mylar balloon was attached to relieve mice of the weight of the implanted optetrode and cables. After the optetrode reached the SP layer, we started to record spikes and local field potentials when signals were stable for at least 2 d. Neuronal signals were referenced to two connected skull screws (above prefrontal cortex and cerebellum respectively) and filtered at 0.4–7 kHz. The spike activity was digitized at 40 kHz and isolated using the time-amplitude window discrimination and template matching methods provided in the Plexon Multichannel Acquisition Processor (MAP) system.

Spoke sorting was carried out using the built-in principal component analysis in Offline Sorter software (Plexon, USA) as described previously. The optetrodes were not moved during recording, suggesting the recordings were very likely from the same cell population. However, occasionally some units disappeared or new units appeared during the recording. A rough separation of units from PCs and interneurons in dCA1 was done mainly based on their differences in spike width and mean baseline firing rates (FR)77–79. Putative CA1 PCs with a mean FR > 0.75 Hz during the task were included in the data presented. In our recording from 10 mice over consecutive 5 d (3 d for initial learning + 2 d for reversal learning), we recorded 576 single units in the dCA1. Of 91 Calb + cell, 98% were excitatory complex spike cells (excitatory PCs) and 2% were inhibitory theta cells, while in 485 units of Calb - cells, 88% were excitatory and 12% were inhibitory. Thus, a majority of recorded cells were excitatory complex spike cells.

Previous studies have successfully optogenetically tagged dopaminergic neurons or different subtypes of GABAergic interneuron with laser pulse-induced ChR2 excitation in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC)77–79. However, in Calb2-ires-cre+/Ai27 mice, we found that the ChR2 excitation method had a strong tendency to profoundly alter spike waveforms, which hampered reliable spike sorting (Supplementary Fig. 12a,b) when 5-ms blue-laser pulse stimulation was used (0.5 mW). The value of cross-correlation (CC) between waveforms of the averaged spontaneous spikes and ChR2 excitation-induced spikes was usually <0.9 (Supplementary Fig. 12a,b). Unexpectedly, in Pavlb-cre+/Ai27 mice, this ChR2 excitation method was efficiently useful to tag inhibitory PV + cells in the CA1, showing induced spikes precisely time-locked to laser pulse stimulation and with high CC values in waveforms (>0.9; Supplementary Fig. 12a,c). The exact reason why tagging Calb + cells with ChR2 did not work well in the dCA1 of Calb2-ires-cre+/Ai27 mice is not known. We suspect that it might be due to the fact that Calb + PCs are so dense in the thin CA1 SP layer that laser stimulation using our implanted optical fiber (200 µm) caused highly synchronized spiking among them, which, in turn, interrupted the spike waveforms of recorded units in optetrode recording in vivo. It is possible that optical stimulation with lower power and smaller area may avoid this problem. Indeed, we note that a recent study from the Buzsáki lab reports successful optogenetic tagging of CA1 PCs with ChR2 using specialized µLEDs on silicon probes with very low light intensity. Optogenetic tagging with inhibitory Arch was also used successfully in two recent optetrode recording studies. We therefore tested repeated 2-min yellow-laser illumination to identify spike units record from the dCA1 Calb +Arch + and Calb - cells, respectively, in Calb2-ires-cre+/Ai35 mice. Single units showing laser-induced suppression of their baseline firing rate (FRbaseline) and CC > 0.9 in the waveform comparison were regarded as Calb +Arch + PCs, and others were Calb - PCs (Fig. 6b and Supplementary Fig. 12d). Furthermore in slice recording we found that optogenetic silencing of Calb +Arch + cells with 2 min of yellow laser illumination in the CA1 did not cause elevated inhibition of Calb - PCs (Supplementary Fig. 12e–g), although there are Calb + INs and their disinhibitory circuit exists in the local CA1 circuitry. Thus, the above comparison clearly suggests that optogenetic tagging with Arch was more suitable and valid for in vivo separation of Calb + and Calb - dCA1 cells in this study. However, this simple classification method has a pitfall in that some of those units that were not suppressed by light could still be Calb - PCs, due to false-negative responses caused by potential illumination issues or weak Arch expression. Nevertheless, we noted significant differences in spontaneous FR between the optogenetically identified PC and PC groups at different brain.
states (Supplementary Fig. 15), consistent with the reported results for superficial and deep PCs in CA1 SP layer.

**Characterization of place cells.** All place cell data were recorded from \( n = 5 \) Calb2-IRES-Cre::Ai35 mice (Fig. 7). We used a U-shaped maze, 5 cm wide with a 112-cm long track. There were different visual cues along the track and a water port at either end of the track. An overhead camera (CinePlex System, Plexon) was used to record animals’ running trajectories. Mice were trained to shuttle between the two ends for a water reward. Maze training and recording were carried out in a dim environment surrounded by black curtains.

To characterize place fields of recorded CA1 PCs, the animal’s position data were sorted into 50 × 50 pixel bins. For a given PC, its firing rates in each bin were calculated by dividing the total spike number by the occupancy time in the bin and then convolved with a Gaussian function. The place field of a cell was the bin(s) where the cell displayed the highest FR and all contiguous bins exceeded 10% of this peak FR. Cells showing a peak FR > 1.5 Hz and with a clear place field preference were defined as place cells, while those with no specific place fields were defined as nonspatial cells (Fig. 7).

The spatial-information content of recorded place cells in the dCA1 was calculated using Skaggs’ formula.

\[
\text{OSI} = \sum_i P_i \left( \frac{R_{i \text{mean}}}{R} \right) \log_2 \left( \frac{R_{i \text{mean}}}{R} \right)
\]

where \( i \) is the bin number, \( P_i \) is the probability for occupancy of bin \( i \), \( R_{i \text{mean}} \) is the mean firing rate for bin \( i \) and \( R \) is the mean firing rate.

**Data analysis.** *Odor responses and selectivity.* Spike responses to odors were measured by comparing the mean FR within a 1.5-s time window after the odor onset with the baseline FR for the 2 s before the odor onset (one-way ANOVA). Values of \( P < 0.01 \) were taken to indicate significant increases or decreases in the FR evoked by odors (Supplementary Fig. 13). The odor response selectivity index (OSI) was calculated using the \( d' \) method (based on signal detection theory) on successive 100-ms bins (Fig. 6c):

\[
\text{OSI} = \frac{FR_A - FR_B}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} (\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2)}}
\]

where \( FR_A \) and \( FR_B \) are the mean FR across 100 trials, while \( \sigma_A \) and \( \sigma_B \) are the s.d. The 1.5-s OSI was calculated using the FR of a 1.5-s time window during odor sampling (1.5 s after odor delivery). Positive values of OSI denote a neuronal FR bias to odor A, while negative values indicate a neuronal FR bias to odor B.

Population vector analysis was used to estimate the development of odor representations (Fig. 6d). Mean FR were pooled for the cPC and sPC groups separately to construct vectors for Go- and No-go-associated odors. A correlation coefficient (CC) for the two vectors was calculated and then rescaled as a PV differential index (PDI)\(^1\), PDI = 1 – CC.

PDI = 0 indicates that the population vectors were identical, whereas PDI = 1 denotes activity uncorrelated and different between Go- and No-go-associated trials.

The chance level of PDI was calculated as in a previous study\(^1\). Briefly, the chance level was determined by random permutation procedure using all 278 trials. We performed 100 permutations for each cell in the sample. For each permutation trial, individual odor-sampling trials were randomly reassigned to odors A/Go or B/No-go trials but maintained the original numbers. Population vectors were then computed from the same ensemble as the original data for each of the 100 permutations, resulting in 100 sets of PDI. The PDI distribution across all 100 permutations in the sample was calculated and the 95th percentile was determined as the significance level.

**Results.** Statistical differences in PDI between cPCs and sPCs were measured using bootstrap resampling\(^88\). Bootstrapped PDIs for cPCs and sPCs were computed by first resampling 100 repetitions with replacement from the original 200 trials (100 A/Go and 100 B/No-go trials) for a given PC, and then this resampling method was applied to all sPCs and cPCs recorded in each training day to generate a bootstrapped ensemble for a given day. Finaly the population vector was computed from the ensemble. This bootstrap procedure was repeated 100 times, resulting in 100 sets of PDI. The 95% confidence interval (\( P < 0.05 \)) was calculated and is shown in Figure 6d from the distribution of the bootstrapped PDIs for each day. The correlation between performance and dCA1 PC odor selectivity was also estimated by plotting the performance of a mouse for each day against the mean OSI of all cPCs or sPCs, respectively, in the same mouse, with linear regression (Fig. 6f).

**Statistical analysis.** Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. unless stated otherwise. The normal distribution of data was tested, and for normally distributed data, statistical significance was tested by unpaired t-tests; otherwise, data was tested by nonparametric tests. Details of the statistical tests and the resultant \( P \)-values for behavioral performance results are listed in the main text, figure legends and Supplementary Tables 4–15, and others are presented in the text, figures or figure legends. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes, but our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications\(5,6,11,13,20,27,36,37\).

**Availability data.** The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.